SD Ref UDP – Case Ref	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
IR – Page No.	Neconinendation		IXei
SD – SD/PF/E/1  UDP – Paragraphs 5.11, 5.13, 5.16, & 5.17  IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 5.1-5.5, Pages 36-37	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP, other than as indicated in relation to the individual sites examined elsewhere in my report.	Decision : Accepted  Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD - SD/PF/E/2  UDP — Paragraph 5.11A  IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.6-5.10, Pages 37-38	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP, other than as indicated in relation to policy E1 and the individual site objections considered elsewhere in my report.	Decision : Accepted  Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD - SD/PF/E/3	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision : Accepted	Mod/P F/E/1
UDP – Policy E1: Protecting Allocated Employment Sites and its related policy text in paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4  IR – Policy Framework	<ul> <li>[a] Paragraphs 5.3 and 5.4 - delete and replace with</li> <li>5.3 Various land uses generate employment opportunities, including retail, cultural and service sectors. Provision for these activities is made elsewhere in the plan. Accordingly, employment in the context of the plan refers to:         <ul> <li>(a) core employment uses, comprising activities</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	Mod/P F/E/2 Mod/P F/E/3
paragraphs 5.11-5.18, Pages 38-40	included within the 1987 Use Classes Order B1 [Light Industry, certain Office uses and Research and Development], B2 [General Industry] and B8 [Warehouse and Distribution Centres] where supportive of the business and industry sectors of the District, and  (b) other employment activities, such as car sales, vehicle repair and maintenance, health care facilities and tourism related developments such		

#### INSPECTORS REPORT – STATEMENT OF DECISIONS

UDP – Policy Framework Chapter 5 – The Economy, Employment and Tourism

		Mod
	Oblindo decision and reasons	Ref
Recommendation		IVEI
as botals, that do not have an effective		
0.000.		
5.4 The Policy Framework policies relate to both (a)		
and (b) while the Proposals Reports indicate those		
sites on which only (a), core employment activities		
Bradford South, or have physical characteristics		
[b] POLICY E1 - delete and replace with		
PROPOSALS FOR EMPLOYMENT		
DEVELOPMENT ON SITES SHOWN ON		
THE PROPOSALS MAP AS EMPLOYMENT		
PROPOSALS REPORTS. PROPOSALS		
FOR OTHER USES WILL NOT BE		
PERMITTED UNLESS:		
(1) THE SITE IS LESS THAN 1.0		
HECTARE IN SIZE, AND		
(2) IT IS WITHIN THE URBAN AREAS		
BAILDON / REIONIELT, AND		
(3) IT IS NOT WITHIN AN		
EMPLOYMENT ZONE, OR		
(4) THERE HAS REEN A MATERIAL		
SINCE THE ADOPTION OF THE		
	Inspector's Recommendation  as hotels, that do not have specific allocations in the plan or do not fall into any particular Use Class.  5.4 The Policy Framework policies relate to both (a) and (b) while the Proposals Reports indicate those sites on which only (a), core employment activities and/or other specified uses, will be permitted. Such sites are those considered to be in prime employment locations, usually in Airedale or Bradford South, or have physical characteristics well-suited for the needs of the fast-growing modern business sectors. Employment sites not so specified will be available for activities included in both (a) and (b).  [b] POLICY E1 - delete and replace with  PROPOSALS FOR EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT ON SITES SHOWN ON THE PROPOSALS MAP AS EMPLOYMENT SITES WILL BE PERMITTED SUBJECT TO POLICY E7 AND ANY SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS INDICATED IN THE PROPOSALS REPORTS. PROPOSALS FOR OTHER USES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED UNLESS:  (1) THE SITE IS LESS THAN 1.0 HECTARE IN SIZE, AND  (2) IT IS WITHIN THE URBAN AREAS OF BRADFORD / SHIPLEY / BAILDON / KEIGHLEY, AND  (3) IT IS NOT WITHIN AN EMPLOYMENT ZONE, OR  (4) THERE HAS BEEN A MATERIAL CHANGE IN CIRCUMSTANCES	as hotels, that do not have specific allocations in the plan or do not fall into any particular Use Class.  5.4 The Policy Framework policies relate to both (a) and (b) while the Proposals Reports indicate those sites on which only (a), core employment activities and/or other specified uses, will be permitted. Such sites are those considered to be in prime employment focations, usually in Airedale or Bradford South, or have physical characteristics well-suited for the needs of the fast-growing modern business sectors. Employment sites not so specified will be available for activities included in both (a) and (b).  [b] POLICY E1 - delete and replace with PROPOSALS FOR EMPLOYMENT DEVELOPMENT ON SITES SHOWN ON THE PROPOSALS MAP AS EMPLOYMENT SITES WILL BE PERMITTED SUBJECT TO POLICY E7 AND ANY SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS INDICATED IN THE PROPOSALS REPORTS. PROPOSALS FOR OTHER USES WILL NOT BE PERMITTED UNLESS:  [1] THE SITE IS LESS THAN 1.0 HECTARE IN SIZE, AND  [2] IT IS WITHIN THE URBAN AREAS OF BRADFORD / SHIPLEY / BAILDON / KEIGHLEY, AND  [3] IT IS NOT WITHIN AN EMPLOYMENT ZONE, OR

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP – Case Ref	Recommendation	CDIVIDE Decision and Reasons	Ref
	Recommendation		Kei
IR – Page No.	DIAN OR BURNO THE LIFE OF		
	PLAN OR DURING THE LIFE OF THE PLAN, OR		
	THE FEAN, OK		
	(5) THE SITE IS NO LONGER		
	APPROPRIATE FOR EMPLOYMENT USE BECAUSE OF		
	POSSIBLE ADVERSE EFFECTS		
	ON ADJACENT LAND USES.		
<b>SD-</b> SD/PF/E/4	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:		Mod/P
<b>60</b> 60/11/2/4	Trecommend that the NDDF be modified as follows.	Decision: Accept	F/E/4
UDP - Policy E2:	[a] POLICY E2 - delete and replace with	Passana : Fautha vassana act aut in the Increated's Deposit	
Protecting Large	POLICY E2	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
Employment Sites	1 OLIO1 LZ		
and its related supporting	FOR THOSE LARGER EMPLOYMENT SITES		
text in paragraphs 5.21a -	IDENTIFIED IN THE PROPOSALS REPORTS TO BE DEVELOPED IN ACCORDANCE WITH POLICY E2,		
5.23	THE LAYOUT AND DEVELOPMENT SHALL		
	ENSURE THAT A SIGNIFICANT PART OF THE		
IR - Policy Framework	LAND SHALL BE MADE AVAILABLE FOR SINGLE- USER BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES.		
paragraphs 5.19-5.23,	USER BUSINESS OR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES.		
Pages 41-42	[b] Paragraphs 5.21a, 5.22 and 5.23 – delete		
	and replace with		
	5.22 Of the larger sites allocated for		
	employment use in the plan that are		
	3 hectares or more in size, some are		
	subject to Policy E2, as set out in the Proposals Reports. These are either		
	well-located or have physical		
	characteristics suitable to		
	accommodate inward investment opportunities or the relocation of		
	fast-growing local companies. The		
	layout and development of these		
	sites should ensure that about 50%		
	of the developable area, or some 3 hectares, [whichever is the less] is		
			l .

SD Ref	Inspector's	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod
UDP - Case Ref	Recommendation		Ref
IR – Page No.			
	available for a single-user development.  5.23 In the case of West Bowling Golf Course Policy BS/E11 will apply, requiring that the layout and development will ensure that not less than 2 sites of at least 5 hectares each will be available for single-user developments.		
SD- SD/PF/E/5	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accepted	N/A
UDP — Policy E3 Protecting Existing Employment Land and Buildings in Urban Areas		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
and related supporting text in paragraphs 5.21 to 5.23			
IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 5.24-5.32, Pages 42-44			
SD- SD/PF/E/6	I recommend that policy E3A and its related supporting text in the RDDP be modified in accordance with the Council's	Decision : Accepted in part	Mod/P F/E/5
<b>UDP</b> — Policy E3A Office Development	proposed Pre Inquiry Change and that the following criterion be added:	Reasons: The Council has accepted the modifications recommended by the Inspector, but, in order to give greater clarity to the understanding the policy, the Council proposes to insert the word 'whether' before each criteria.	
and its related supporting text in Paragraphs 5.31- 5.36	(5) THE NEED FOR THE DEVELOPMENT IN THE PROPOSED LOCATION MUST BE DEMONSTRATED.	Council proposes to insert the word whether before each chieffa.	
IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.33-5.38, Pages 44-45			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD- SD/PF/E/7	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision: Accepted	Mod/P F/E/6
UDP – Policy E4 – Protecting Existing Employment Land and Buildings in Rural areas	POLICY E4 - delete the full-stop at the end of criterion (3) and replace with ; OR	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 5.39-5.42, Pages 45-46			
SD- SD/PF/E/8	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision: Accept	Mod/P F/E/7
UDP — Policy E5A: Adaptation of Agricultural and Rural Buildings and its related supporting text in paragraph 5.39	<ul> <li>[a] POLICY E5A - delete "the unviability of business use" and replace with</li> <li> THAT ALL REASONABLE EFFORTS HAVE BEEN MADE TO SECURE BUSINESS USE.</li> <li>[b] Paragraph 5.39 - after the final sentence add</li> </ul>	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	,,_,,
IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.43-5.52, Pages 46-48	Buildings for conversion should be of permanent and substantial construction and capable of conversion without major or complete reconstruction.		
SD- SD/PF/E/9	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision: Accept	N/A
UDP — Paragraph 5.42a (New Employment Uses in Rural Areas)		Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.53-5.55, Pages 48 - 49			

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD- SD/PF/E/10	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision: Accept	Mod/P F/E/8
<b>UDP</b> – Policy E6 Employment Zones	[a] Paragraph 5.45 - delete the first sentence and replace with	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
and its related supporting text in paragraphs 5.43 and 5.45.	Within the Employment Zones it is important to maintain and encourage new industrial and commercial investment. Any other development that is permitted must support the predominantly industrial and commercial function of the Zone.		
IR – Policy Framework paragraphs 5.56-5.65, Pages 49 - 51	[b] Paragraph 5.43 - add at the end of the paragraph  The Proposals Reports include specific policies applicable to individual employment areas.		
	[c] Proposals Map - insert the policy reference numbers in the individual Employment Zones.		
	[d] POLICY E6 - delete the word "NORMALLY		
<b>SD-</b> SD/PF/E/11	I recommend that the RDDP be modified as follows:	Decision: Accept	Mod/P F/E/9
UDP — Policy E7 Storage & Distribution Warehouse Development	POLICY E7 - delete and replace with POLICY E7	Reasons: For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	
IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.66-5.74, Page 51 - 53	LARGE STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION WAREHOUSE DEVELOPMENT (USE CLASS ORDER B8) WILL NOT BE PERMITTED UNLESS IT IS DIRECTLY RELATED AND/OR ANCILLARY TO LOCAL MANUFACTURING AND DISTRIBUTION PROCESSES.		

SD Ref UDP – Case Ref IR – Page No.	Inspector's Recommendation	CBMDC Decision and Reasons	Mod Ref
SD- SD/PF/E/12  UDP — Policy E9 Major Hotels and Conference Facilities  IR — Policy Framework paragraphs 5.75-5.76, Page 53	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept  Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A
SD- SD/PF/E/13  UDP — Policy Omission 49 Farm Diversification  IR — Policy Framework, paragraphs 5.77-5.78, Pages 53 - 54	I recommend that no modification be made to the RDDP.	Decision : Accept  Reasons : For the reasons set out in the Inspector's Report	N/A